Group Exhibit 1

Case 2:19-cv-13236-DPH-EAS ECF No. 1-1 filed 11/01/19 PageID.6 Page 2 of 7

Approved, SCAO

Original - Court 1st Copy- Defendant 2nd Copy - Plaintiff 3rd Copy -Return

| STATE OF MICHIGAN |
|------------------------|
| THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT |
| WAYNE COUNTY |

SUMMONS

CASE NO. 19-014243-CK

| WAYNE COUNTY | | | Hon.Leslie Kim Smith |
|---|--|--|---|
| Court address : 2 Woodward Ave., Detroit MI 48226 | | | Court telephone no.: 313-224-2427 |
| PlaintIff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s) LEONARD, MARIE | V | | it's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). ORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE NY |
| Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no | • | | |
| Bradley M. Peri 73146 17000 W 10 Mile Rd Ste 200 Southfield, MI 48075-2902 | | | |
| Instructions: Check the items below that apply to your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory and | ou and provide any require ddendum (form MC 21). Th | d informat ne summo | ion. Submit this form to the court clerk along with ns section will be completed by the court clerk. |
| (form MC 21) listing those cases. ☐ It is unknown if there are pending or resolved coor family members of the person(s) who are the civil Case ☐ This is a business case in which all or part of the MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if ap There is no other pending or resolved civil action.) ☐ A civil action between these parties or other particles. | of the complaint. Is within the jurisdiction of the complaint. I have assess within the jurisdiction is subject of the complaint. It is assess within the jurisdiction is subject of the complaint. It is a reaction includes a businesse a right to recover expensionable, the contracted here arising out of the same that the arising out of the transfer. | ne family of ave separated of the families or commes in this alth plan in the caction or the families and the caction or the families and the families are action or the families and the families and the families are the familie | division of the circuit court involving the family or ately filed a completed confidential case inventory illy division of the circuit court involving the family mercial dispute under MCL 600.8035 case. I certify that notice and a copy of the n accordance with MCL 400.106(4). To roccurrence as alleged in the complaint. occurrence alleged in the complaint has |
| been previously filed in \square this court, \square | | | |
| where it was given case number | and assigned to J | udge | · |
| The action \square remains \square is no longer pendi | ng. | | |
| Summons section completed by court clerk. | SUMMONS | | |
| NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the state of the | ons and a copy of the comtion with the court (28 dathe time allowed, judgment court because of a disabi | plaint to fi ys if you w may be e | Ile a written answer with the court and serve a vere served by mail or you were served outside intered against you for the relief demanded in the u require a foreign language interpreter to help |
| issue date 10/25/2019 | Expiration date* 1/24/2020 | | Court clerk Mai Xiong |

Cathy M. Garrett- Wayne County Clerk.

*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.



SUMMONS

MCR 1.109(D), MCR 2.102(B), MCR 2.103, MCR 2.104, MCR 2.105

Case 2:19-cv-13236-DPH-EAS ECF No. 1-1 filed 11/01/19 PageID.7 Page 3 of 7

SUMMONS Case No. : 19-014243-CK

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

| | OFFICER CE | RTIFICA | TE | OR | | AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]), and that: (notarization not required) Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult, and I am not a party or an officer of a corporate party (MCR 2.103[A]), and that: (notarization required) | | | | | | | | |
| □ I served person | ally a copy of the | summons | and complaint. | | | | | |
| ☐ I served by registered or certified mail (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and complaint, together with | | | | | | | | |
| | List all doc | uments serv | ed with the Summons | and Compla | aint | | | |
| on the defendant(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Defendant's name | | | Complete address(es) of service | | | Day, date, time | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | ly attempted to sole to complete s | | mmons and compla | int, togeth | er with any | attachments, on the following defendant(s) and | | |
| Defendant's name | | | Complete address | (es) of se | rvice | Day, date, time | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| I declare under the penalties of perjury that this proof of service has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief. | | | | | | | | |
| Service fee \$ | Miles traveled \$ | Fee \$ | Signature | | | | | |
| Incorrect address fee | Miles traveled | Fee \$ | Total fee | Name (type or print) | | | | |
| | \$ | | <u> </u> | | lo | | | |
| Subscribed and sw | orn to before me | on | | 111 | | County, Michigan. | | |
| | | | Date | , <u></u> | | | | |
| My commission expires: Signature: Date Deputy court clerk/Notary public | | | | | | | | |
| Notary public, State | of Michigan, Co | unty of | | | | • | | |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE | | | | | | | | |
| I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together with | | | | | | | | |
| Attachments | | | | | | | | |
| Day, date, time | | | | | | | | |
| Signature | | | on | behalf of | | | | |

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

MARIE LEONARD.

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 19-

-CK

vs

Fax 248.483.3131

PHONE 248.483.5000

Hon.:

HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut Corporation

Defendant.

BRADLEY M. PERI P73146 GOODMAN ACKER, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 17000 W. Ten Mile Road, 2nd Floor Southfield, Michigan 48075 (248) 483-5000

THERE IS CURRENTLY NO OTHER CIVIL ACTION ARISING OUT OF THE SAME TRANSACTION OR OCCURRENCE AS ALLEGED IN THIS COMPLAINT CURRENTLY PENDING OR PREVIOUSLY FILED WITH THE COURT.

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Plaintiff, MARIE LEONARD, by and through counsel, GOODMAN ACKER, P.C., and for her Complaint, states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff, MARIE LEONARD, is a resident of the City of Wyandotte, County of Wayne, State of Michigan.
- INSURANCE 2. HARTFORD AND ACCIDENT Defendant, LIFE COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "HARTFORD") is a Conneticut corporation duly authorized to transact the business of health, life and disability insurance in the State of Michigan and does in fact regularly and systematically conduct this business in the

County of Wayne, State of Michigan accepting service through The Corporation Company, 40600 Ann Arbor Road, E., Suite 201, Plymouth, Michigan 48170.

- 3. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of Twenty-Five Thousand (\$25,000.00) Dollars.
- 4. Plaintiff, on or about May 4, 2016, was insured under the provisions of a disability insurance policy (no. GLT696981) issued by Defendant, HARTFORD.
- 5. Said policy was governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 USC §1001, et seq.
- 6. Defendant, HARTFORD, under the terms and conditions of the said disability insurance policy, became obligated to pay to the Plaintiff, certain expenses or losses in the event Plaintiff sustained an injury or illness that resulted in his disability from employment and/or inability to work for an extended period of time.
- 7. Plaintiff was diagnosed with Fibromyalgia, Complex Regional Pain Syndrome, Osteoarthritis and Depression, among other disorders.
- 8. As a result of the abovementioned disabilities, Plaintiff was deemed unable to return to work by her treating physicians as a result of treatment that She would have to receive as a result of her disabilities.
- 9. Said disabilities further limited Plaintiff from performing the material and substantial duties of his regular occupation, as well as any occupation, as defined in Defendant HARTFORD's policy.
- 10. Defendant, HARTFORD, wrongfully terminated Plaintiff's long-term disability benefits on <u>September 18, 2018</u> with no further benefits payable after <u>November 5, 2018</u>.

• Fax 248.483.3131 e

17000 West Ten Mile Road, Second Floor • Southfield, Michigan 48075 • Phone 248,483,5000

- 11. Defendant, HARTFORD, upheld it's above decision on <u>September 20</u>, <u>2019</u>.
- 12. Plaintiff, as a result of the said injuries and subsequent related disabilities, has incurred a substantial loss of wages and brings this action pursuant to 29 USC §1132(a)(1)(B).
- 13. Defendant has failed, refused or neglected to pay Plaintiff the aforesaid wage loss disability payments in accordance with their policy even though reasonable proof of illness and employment disability has been submitted.
- 14. Defendant's failure and/or refusal to pay the aforesaid disability insurance benefits is continuous and ongoing and, as a consequence thereof, Plaintiff continues to incur allowable expenses for which the Defendant is liable and for which Plaintiff is entitled to recover herein.
- 15. The aforesaid disability benefits due and owing to or for the benefit of Plaintiff are overdue thereby entitling Plaintiff to recover statutory interest pursuant to 29 USC §1001, et seq.
- 16. Defendant has unreasonably refused or delayed in making proper payments to the Plaintiff, which permits the Plaintiff to obtain reasonable attorney fees from Defendant pursuant to 29 USC 1132(g)(1).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MARIE LEONARD, respectfully prays that damages may be awarded in Plaintiff's favor against Defendant HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT INSURANCE COMPANY for the following elements of damage pursuant to 29 USC §1001, et seq.:

- a. All disability insurance payments found to be due and owing to Plaintiff from November 5, 2018 to present, pursuant to 29 USC §1132, as those benefits are more fully described in this Complaint;
- b. Statutory interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum on all overdue disability insurance benefits pursuant to 29 USC §1001, et seq;
- c. Penalty interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum on all overdue disability insurance benefits pursuant to 29 USC §1001, et seq;
- d. Reasonable attorney fees for Defendant's unreasonable refusal and/or unreasonable delay in making proper payment of disability insurance benefits payments pursuant to 29 USC 1132(g)(1);
- e. Additional interest on civil judgments allowed under the Michigan Revised Judicature Act (RJA);
- f. Taxable costs, fees and other expenses as permitted by statute, court rule and common low; and
- g. Any and all other relief that this Court determined to be fair, just and appropriate under the facts and circumstances of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

GOODMAN ACKER, P.C.

/s/ Bradley M. Peri BRADLEY M. PERI P73146 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: October 25, 2019